

### GPU Accelerated Backtesting and ML for Quant Trading Strategies

GTC 2015 | San José | California

Dr. Daniel Egloff daniel.egloff@quantalea.net March 18, 2015



- Goals
  - Execute automated algorithmic trading strategies
  - Optimize risk return
- Procedure
  - Extract signals and build price forecasting indicators from market data
  - Transform indicators into buy / sell decisions
  - Apply portfolio risk management
- Challenges
  - Find relevant signals and indicators
  - Engineer and parameterize trading decision
  - Find optimal parameters
- Approach
  - Exploit parallelism in the computations
  - Accelerate calculations by using a GPU cluster

#### **Algo Trading Strategies**





Configurations

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Futures market
  - CME 50 liquid futures
  - Other exchanges
- Equity markets
  - World stock indices
- FX markets
  - 10 major currency pairs
  - 30 alternative currency pairs
- Options markets
  - Options on futures
  - Options on indices

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Challenge 1: How can we engineer a strategy producing buy / sell decisions ?

#### **Random Forests**

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Strategy configuration c

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

Bootstrapping to create training sets

C 4.5 algorithm for individual tree construction

- Selecting subset of features for tree construction
- Each node is associated with a subset of training samples
- Recursive, starting at the root node
- At each node execute divide and conquer algorithm to find locally optimal choice
  - If samples are in same class (or few class) node is a leaf associated with that class
  - If samples are in two or more classes
    - Calculate information gain for each feature
    - Select feature if largest information gain for splitting

T = set of samples associated with node

 $C_1, \dots, C_n$  = classes of samples Entropy

Entropy

$$Ent(T) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{freq(C_i,T)}{|T|} \log_2\left(\frac{freq(C_i,T)}{|T|}\right)$$

- Characterizes impurity of samples
- Measure of uncertainty
- Additive: impurity of several subsets is sum of impurities

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $T_1, ..., T_s$  = subsets of T generated by splitting on selected attribute Information gain discrete feature

$$gain(T_1, \dots, T_s) = Ent(T) - \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{|T_i|}{|T|} Ent(T_i)$$

Information gain continuous feature with optimal splitting threshold

$$gain(t) = gain(T_{\leq t}, T_{>t})$$
$$t_* = argmax \ gain(t)$$

Actual implementation uses ratio information gain over split ratio

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Samples / observations

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Entropy criterion for best feature and split

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

Recursively refine classification: mask data according to classification

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

Recursively refine classification: mask data according to classification

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **GPU Implementation**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Parallelism at multiple levels
  - Multiple trees, one for each set of weights
  - Independent features
  - Independent split points
  - Multiple nodes further down the tree
- GPU kernels can be implemented with standard primitives
  - Random number generation for weights
  - Parallel scan (cumulative sum)
  - Parallel map
  - Parallel reduction to find optimal feature and split

#### Speedup

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Challenge2: How to choose best trading strategy ?

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

P&L(c) = <s(c), r >

#### **Optimal Configuration**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

pick configuration with largest P&L

#### Bootstrapping Trading P&L

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

Null Hypothesis

Trading P&L<= 0

Alternative Hypothesis

Trading P&L > 0

#### Trading P&L Distribution

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Scale exposure according to distance from 0
- Do not trade if negative returns

#### **GPU Implementation**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Parallelism at multiple levels
  - Multiple markets
  - Independent in-sample / out-of-sample windows
  - Independent strategy configurations
  - Independent time steps for utility functions such as mean return
- GPU kernels can be implemented with standard primitives
  - Random number generation
  - Matrix multiplication (almost, up to return vector scaling the weights)
  - Parallel reduction

#### **GPU Implementation**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

- GPU grid
  - Multiple markets
  - Independent in-sample / out-of-sample windows
- Per GPU
  - Independent strategy configurations
  - Independent time steps for utility functions such as mean return

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Questions ?

Dr. Daniel Egloff daniel.egloff@quantalea.net March 18, 2015